



500 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1000, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
OFFICE: 916-446-7979 FAX: 916-446-8199
SOMACHLAW.COM

May 31, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Klamath Irrigation District v. United States Bureau of Reclamation, et al.*
No. 22-1116

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent Shasta View Irrigation District, Tulelake Irrigation District, Klamath Water Users Association, Rob Unruh, and Ben DuVal in this matter, and also write on behalf of Klamath Drainage District and Van Brimmer Ditch Company (collectively, “Shasta View et al.”). Shasta View et al., like Petitioner Klamath Irrigation District, were plaintiffs-appellants in *Klamath Irrigation District, et al. v. United States Bureau of Reclamation, et al.*, Ninth Circuit Case No. 20-36009 (decided Sept. 8, 2022).

I write to notify the Court that Shasta View et al. maintain their interest in the outcome of the petition and support granting the petition for the reasons stated therein. Should this Court grant the petition, Shasta View et al. will seek all available relief. *See* Sup. Ct. R. 12.6 (“All parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is sought to be reviewed are deemed parties entitled to file documents in this Court”); *Black v. United States*, 561 U.S. 465, 468 n.1 (2010) (noting that a respondent filing a letter in support of a petitioner “qualifies for relief under this Court’s Rule 12.6”).

Respectfully,

Richard S. Deitchman

cc: Reagan L.B. Desmond, Counsel for Klamath Drainage Ditch
Nathan J. Ratliff, Counsel for Van Brimmer Ditch Company
All counsel listed on Certificate of Service filed concurrently herewith